Final Evaluation (CTEF Numeric) (copy) for Hennigan, Eric Michael I&C SCI 11 LEC A (36520), ECON 11 LEC A (62010), Winter Qtr 2010

A. Please comment on the following areas and be as specific as possible:

- 1. What are the instructor's teaching strengths?
 - clear, patient, and use good example
 - Eric is probably the most committed TA I have met at UCI. I was never limited to only his office hour. He encouraged me to stop by whenever I had a question. He was very generous with his time and knowledge. If I did not understand the concept, he would find many different ways to explain. My favorite was, even if I told him I understood but if he fel I was just saying that he would start to question me on the subject until he felt I understood the material. Who does that! On couple of occasions Eric filled in for the professor and led the lecture. The first time I was thinking about not attending the lecture assuming it would be a waste of time, but, I was very pleasantly surprised. In fact, he was a better lecturer than majority of my professors in the past. He was clear and he made the subject interesting. I know he will make a great professor someday.
 - \bullet explains well
 - He explains better than the professor does.
 - He is a great teacher and understands the course material very well.
 - He is not even sure about the answers!! He was not ready for the material.
 - He was available to answer questions.
 - MOved slowly and allowed us to ask detailed questions
 - Nothing
 - poor,he doesn't even know how to do the problem sets. he always say "oo that's a hard problem to explain, i don't know how to explain it, b/c its not clear"
 - Seems to know alot about the material
 - Very thorough on explaining the concepts, encourage the student to think
 - 8 blank answer(s).
- 2. How can this instructor improve as a teacher?
 - be in class on the right weeks help students understand the material better
 - He's better to learn more, to be able to teach better.
 - He can be more specific and clear when giving examples on problem sets. Too many times, when he tried to explain some of the concepts they were too vague to be helpful.
 - He can explain things more specific. Like when student asking him a question from the hw, he is avoiding giving the answer to the student. but sometimes it is just so hard to explain without the actual data in the question. especially things involved calculation.
 - He could explain things more in depth so they become less confusing.
 - He is the best.
 - Inform the instructor to slow down... this material is very difficult. You did fine.
 - not sure
 - The home work is ridiculous and the TA does not help with it at all in discussion.
 - This instructor seems to enjoy seeing students get stumped. Neither will he help students understand the topic fully, he explains in circles.
 - 10 blank answer(s).
- 3. Any other comments about this course?

03/30/2010 Page 1 of 6

Final Evaluation (CTEF Numeric) (copy) for Hennigan, Eric Michael I&C SCI 11 LEC A (36520), ECON 11 LEC A (62010), Winter Qtr 2010

- bad mix of econ and computer science, doesn't make sense.
- great course
- It wasn't helpful at all.
- It was very beneficial having him as a TA; he helped out a lot with homework. Otherwise I would have been lost and confused.
- not helpful TA
- The online textbook is not helpful at all.
- This course is too difficult for a lower division class.
- Worst course I have taken in my UCI career.
- 12 blank answer(s).

B. Please choose the appropriate rating:

If you have no opinion on the question asked or if it does not apply, please select "Not Applicable."

4. The course instructor shows enthusiasm for and is interested in the subject.

5	9 (Excellent)	Value: 9	9
1	8	Value: 8	8
1	7	Value:	7
3	6 (Good)	Value:	6
3	5	Value:	5
0	4	Value:	4
2	3 (Fair)	Value:	3
0	2	Value:	2
0	1 (Barely Satisfactory)	Value:	1
3	0 (Unsatisfactory)	Value:	0
1	Not Applicable	No Valu	16
5.50	Mean		
6.00	Median		
3.11	Std Dev		

5. The course instructor stimulates your interest in the subject.

	J -	
2	9 (Excellent)	Value: 9
1	8	Value: 8
2	7	Value: 7
1	6 (Good)	Value: 6
3	5	Value: 5
2	4	Value: 4
1	3 (Fair)	Value: 3
1	2	Value: 2
0	1 (Barely Satisfactory)	Value: 1
5	0 (Unsatisfactory)	Value: 0
1	Not Applicable	No Value
11	Mean	

- 4.11 Mean
- 4.50 Median
- 3.12 Std Dev

6. The course instructor meets stated objectives of the course.

03/30/2010 Page 2 of 6

Final Evaluation (CTEF Numeric) (copy) for Hennigan, Eric Michael I&C SCI 11 LEC A (36520), ECON 11 LEC A (62010), Winter Qtr 2010

2	9 (Excellent)	Value: 9
4	8	Value: 8
1	7	Value: 7
2	6 (Good)	Value: 6
3	5	Value: 5
0	4	Value: 4
0	3 (Fair)	Value: 3
2	2	Value: 2
3	1 (Barely Satisfactory)	Value: 1
1	0 (Unsatisfactory)	Value: 0
1	Not Applicable	No Value
5.06	Mean	
5.50	Median	
3.03	Std Dev	

7. The course instructor is accessible and responsive.

```
9 (Excellent)
                                 Value: 9
  3
      8
                                 Value: 8
       7
   1
                                 Value: 7
   1
      6 (Good)
                                 Value: 6
   4
      5
                                 Value: 5
   1
       4
                                 Value: 4
   0
      3 (Fair)
                                 Value: 3
   1
                                 Value: 2
      1 (Barely Satisfactory)
                                 Value: 1
  2
      0 (Unsatisfactory)
                                 Value: 0
   1
      Not Applicable
                                 No Value
5.11
      Mean
      Median
5.00
3.09
      Std Dev
```

8. The course instructor creates an open and fair learning environment.

3	9 (Excellent)	Value: 9
4	8	Value: 8
1	7	Value: 7
1	6 (Good)	Value: 6
4	5	Value: 5
1	4	Value: 4
1	3 (Fair)	Value: 3
1	2	Value: 2
0	1 (Barely Satisfactory)	Value: 1
2	0 (Unsatisfactory)	Value: 0
1	Not Applicable	No Value
5.61	Mean	
5.50	Median	

2.85

Std Dev

9. The course instructor encourages students to think in this course.

03/30/2010 Page 3 of 6

Final Evaluation (CTEF Numeric) (copy) for Hennigan, Eric Michael I&C SCI 11 LEC A (36520), ECON 11 LEC A (62010), Winter Qtr 2010

2	9 (Excellent)	Value: 9
1	8	Value: 8
4	7	Value: 7
3	6 (Good)	Value: 6
2	5	Value: 5
1	4	Value: 4
0	3 (Fair)	Value: 3
0	2	Value: 2
2	1 (Barely Satisfactory)	Value: 1
3	0 (Unsatisfactory)	Value: 0
1	Not Applicable	No Value
4.89	Mean	
6.00	Median	
3.05	Std Dev	
. The course	e instructor's presentation	as and explanations of concepts were clear.

```
9 (Excellent)
                                 Value: 9
   1
      8
                                 Value: 8
   1
                                 Value: 7
   2
       6 (Good)
                                 Value: 6
   3
      5
                                 Value: 5
   0
      4
                                 Value: 4
   2
      3 (Fair)
                                 Value: 3
   1
                                 Value: 2
      1 (Barely Satisfactory)
                                 Value: 1
   3
      0 (Unsatisfactory)
                                 Value: 0
      Not Applicable
   1
                                 No Value
4.83
      Mean
      Median
5.00
3.22
      Std Dev
```

11. Assignments and exams covered important aspects of the course.

		1
3	9 (Excellent)	Value: 9
1	8	Value: 8
0	7	Value: 7
3	6 (Good)	Value: 6
2	5	Value: 5
2	4	Value: 4
0	3 (Fair)	Value: 3
0	2	Value: 2
1	1 (Barely Satisfactory)	Value: 1
2	0 (Unsatisfactory)	Value: 0
5	Not Applicable	No Value
.14	Mean	
F0	N. F. 1*	

5.

5.50Median

3.02Std Dev

12. What overall evaluation would you give this instructor?

03/30/2010 Page 4 of 6

Final Evaluation (CTEF Numeric) (copy) for Hennigan, Eric Michael I&C SCI 11 LEC A (36520), ECON 11 LEC A (62010), Winter Qtr 2010

2 9 (Excellent) Value: 9 2 8 Value: 8 3 7 Value: 7 6 (Good) 0 Value: 6 3 5 Value: 5 2 4 Value: 4 0 3 (Fair) Value: 3 1 Value: 2 2 1 (Barely Satisfactory) Value: 1 2 0 (Unsatisfactory) Value: 0 1 Not Applicable No Value 4.82Mean 5.00 Median 3.01 Std Dev

13. What overall evaluation would you give this course?

9 (Excellent) Value: 9 1 8 Value: 8 7 3 Value: 7 2 6 (Good) Value: 6 2 5 Value: 5 1 4 Value: 4 0 3 (Fair) Value: 3 3 Value: 2 0 1 (Barely Satisfactory) Value: 1 4 0 (Unsatisfactory) Value: 0 1 Not Applicable No Value Mean

4.39

5.00 Median

Std Dev 3.13

C. Please answer:

14. Based on completed assignments thus far, what is your current course grade or approximate standing?

${f A}$	${f B}$	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{D}	${f F}$	NA
2	11	4	0	0	1
Value: 4	Value: 3	Value: 2	Value: 1	Value: 0	No Value
Mean	Median	Std Dev			
2.88	3.00	0.58			

15. How much academic dishonesty seemed to occur in this course? If applicable, please describe the type of academic dishonesty that occurred (not the particular students involved).

1. A little None I could discern A lot Some 0 1 2 15

2. Examples:

• 20 blank answer(s).

16. How helpful were the textbooks and/or readings to your overall learning experience?

\mathbf{Very}	Adequately	Somewhat	Not at all
2	3	6	7

03/30/2010 Page 5 of 6

UCI EEE Evaluations
Final Evaluation (CTEF Numeric) (copy) for Hennigan, Eric Michael I&C SCI 11 LEC A (36520), ECON 11 LEC A (62010),
Winter Qtr 2010

17. How challenging was this course?

\mathbf{Very}	Adequately	Somewhat	Not at all
11	4	3	1

Page 6 of 6 03/30/2010